

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,249	07/11/2001	Gregory Scott Duncan	VTN0546	1269
27777 7:	590 06/18/2003			
AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR.			EXAMINER	
JOHNSON & J			NGUYEN, TUAN N	
ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZ. NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003				
TIEW BROTES	WICIC, 113 00755 7005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	,
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	i'

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

St

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/90324

Tuan Nguyen

Art Unit 3653

Applican

α(.

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE There (3) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status	0/-/0	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3/5/03	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	tion is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	·	
4) Claim(s) -28	is/are pending in the application.	
	and 23-28 is/are withdrawn from consideratio	
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
5) Claim(s) 1 and 15	- 22 is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to	
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re objected to by the Examiner.	
	is: வ் approved வ disapproved.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	· •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13)☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No:	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d		
application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)		
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18] Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/903,249

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 2-14 and 23-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 12 filed on March 05, 2003.

The Examiner has further withdrawn claims 5, 6 and 8 from consideration because those claims depend from non-elected claims 4 and 2, respectively.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 15 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimoyashiro et al. (cited by applicants).

Shimoyashiro et al. disclose a process for automatically sorting a random assemblage of products into individual orders comprising the steps of providing at least one computer 110 having access to one or more databases in which is stored order information of a variety of orders for the same or different products and the product identifier information; providing a random assemblage of products in response to the order information in which each of the products has a product identifier thereon; and scanning the product identifiers so as to sort the products based on a particular order and to an order builder zone for that order.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/903,249

Art Unit: 3653

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimoyashiro et al. (cited by applicants) in view of Liff et al..

Shimoyashiro et al. do not have a step of applying a label onto each product.

However, Liff et al. disclose a process for controlling a drug dispensing system having a step of applying a label 58 onto a product 32.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the process of Shimoyashiro et al. to have an additional step of label applicator as taught by Liff et al.. Such modification identifies an owner's name on each specific product so as to avoid confusing in delivering.

Art Unit: 3653

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6. disclosure. Benson et al. and Hazama et al. are cited to show other pertinent art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Tuan Nguyen 7. at telephone number 703-308-3664.

Chan Mguyen 6/16/03

tnn,

June 16, 2003.